

[China | UN High Commissioner should condemn police violence, support peaceful protest in Hong Kong](#)

25.11.2019



□□□□□□□□

[Press briefing notes](#) by the UN's Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), issued on 19 November, 'lack a sense of proportion', say human rights groups, and may undermine the Office's credibility in calling for respect for fundamental freedoms. The statement prioritises addressing violence by protestors when, in fact, the far larger concern is the 'severe and disproportionate force' used by the Hong Kong police.

'The claim by the Office that the authorities have "by and large respected" the right to peaceful assembly is ludicrous,' says Sarah M Brooks, ISHR's Asia advocate.

'The OHCHR statement utterly fails to recognise extensive documentation of police violence and use of non-lethal and lethal weapons well beyond the scope allowed by relevant international standards. It has also contradicted its own previous statements, one from the High Commissioner, and a third statement from UN human rights experts specialised in freedom of expression and freedom of assembly and association.'

Netizens and human rights defenders in Hong Kong immediately reacted. While some welcomed any attention to the situation in Hong Kong, many posts declared the OHCHR effectively asleep on the job, or overly influenced by the Chinese government.

Dear UN, you've been taking a good nap since June, so there are some [#HongKong](#) citizens who studied [#PoliceBrutality](#) for you. Please read the report. You're asking the suppressed civilians to stop resistance and the unaccountable gvt to start a "dialogue". <https://t.co/pVvNktd2dx>

— Raptor Buzz (@RaptorBuzz) [November 19, 2019](#)

Don't know where UN have been over the last few months but 'the authorities have by and large respected the exercise of this right' [of freedom of assembly in Hong Kong] couldn't be further away from the truth [#HKprotests](#) [#HKPoliceBrutality](#) [#SOSHK](#) <https://t.co/RIkts03PvB>
[pic.twitter.com/F5Qn79kEKg](https://t.co/F5Qn79kEKg)

— Wesley James □ (@WesJWHK) [November 19, 2019](#)

What a pathetic, mealy-mouthed statement.

See thread here by [@FrancesEveCHRD:pic.twitter.com/qPsbsfamqS](https://twitter.com/FrancesEveCHRD/pic.twitter.com/qPsbsfamqS)

— Alvin Y.H. Cheung had a name, but never mind (@ayhcheung) [November 19, 2019](#)

In their own response to the press statement, more than 20 human rights organisations and networks [issued a public statement today](#), urging High Commissioner Bachelet herself to speak out more forcefully to condemn violence by the police; urge the Hong Kong authorities to establish an independent commission of inquiry into the police use of excessive force; and request the release of all those detained for the peaceful exercise of their rights.

Indeed, remarks Brooks, the High Commissioner has issued [several strong statements to this effect](#) in recent months, on situations in Bolivia, Egypt, Iran, Nicaragua, and other countries. Each of these has emphasised respect for international norms and standards, and urged respect for fundamental freedoms, as well as for due process and fair trials for individuals detained.

'De-escalation of violence and peaceful dialogue are shared end goals,' says Brooks. 'But to get there, the Hong Kong authorities must demonstrate a good-faith effort to respond to key demands of Hong Kongers, and rebuild the trust that has so clearly eroded over the last several years, especially since the beginning of this summer'.

'To this end, the voice of the High Commissioner for Human Rights must be clearly and unequivocally on the side of international human rights law - which is to say, on the side of those peacefully protesting for justice and rule of law in Hong Kong'.

The full text of the statement is copied below in its original format.

For questions or additional information, please contact Sarah M Brooks at [s.brooks\[at\]ishr.ch](mailto:s.brooks[at]ishr.ch), or [@sarahmcneer](#).

Image Credit: [@badiucao](#)

UN High Commissioner for Human Rights: Condemn Systematic Violations of Fundamental Freedoms in Hong Kong & Police Violence

(November 25, 2019) The UN's highest official principally responsible for human rights, High Commissioner Michelle Bachelet, should publicly denounce the Hong Kong Government for its systematic violations of the rights to freedom of peaceful assembly and freedom of expression, and condemn the unnecessary and disproportionate use of force by police in Hong Kong.

The Hong Kong Police Force have systematically suppressed the right to peaceful assembly by using excessive force against individuals exercising their rights, including beating peaceful protesters and using tear gas, pepper spray, and rubber bullets. Police have increasingly denied permits for assemblies and marches and arbitrarily detained individuals for "unlawful assembly."

The Hong Kong and Chinese Central governments have allowed police to operate with complete impunity. No police officer has faced legal action over excessive use of force or abuse of power in connection to the violent suppression of the protests since the demonstrations broke out. In contrast, police have [arrested](#) almost 4,500 individuals in connection to the protests since June 9. There has been [credible evidence](#) of torture and ill-treatment of protestors by police in detention.

On November 19, the Office of the High Commissioner [released a press briefing](#) which stated incorrectly that the Hong Kong “authorities have by and large respected the exercise of [the] right [to peaceful assembly].” The Office of the High Commissioner failed to condemn police violence. This amounts to a denial of the [extensive documentation](#) from credible sources of violations of human rights in Hong Kong and ignores concerns raised by other [UN independent experts](#).

According to the mandate [determined](#) by the UN General Assembly, the High Commissioner has the responsibility to “promote and protect the effective enjoyment by all of all human rights,” and to “play an active role in removing the current obstacles and in meeting the challenges to the full realization of all human rights and in preventing the continuation of human rights violations throughout the world.”

This mandate asks that the High Commissioner use her position to raise serious concerns about human rights abuses everywhere in the world. By not doing so, the Office has harmed its credibility by ignoring police brutality and the suppression of the Hong Kong people’s largely peaceful exercise of their fundamental freedoms.

China’s Government in Beijing has increasingly signalled that it is ultimately in charge in Hong Kong. On November 16, [People’s Liberation Army](#) soldiers cleared up debris and bricks, [without being invited](#) by the Hong Kong Government to assist, as required by the Basic Law. On November 18, China’s Ambassador to the United Kingdom Liu Xiaoming [said](#), “We [the Central Government] have enough resolution and power to end the unrest.” Holding the China-controlled Hong Kong Government accountable for its human rights abuses is a key test if the UN can resist [interference](#) in the UN human rights system by an increasingly powerful China.

Beginning in June, millions of people in Hong Kong have publicly demonstrated against an extradition bill to Mainland China that would have [undermined the separate freedoms](#) that are enshrined in law in Hong Kong. The police have [repeatedly responded](#) to these peaceful protests with [excessive force](#), and the protests have since morphed into a movement denouncing police violence and demanding full democratic rights for the people of Hong Kong. [Police inaction](#) in the face of attacks on protesters, journalists and bystanders at the Yuen Long MTR Station on July 21 represented a clear failure to protect the rights to life and security of persons. Journalists trying to cover the protests have faced [violence](#), [intimidation](#), and [threats](#) from police, including an incident in which police [shot](#) an Indonesian journalist in the face with a rubber bullet while she covered the protests, permanently blinding her in one eye. Medics and social workers providing assistance to arrestees and injured individuals have also faced police obstruction.

The political situation in Hong Kong has deteriorated since October. Hong Kong Chief Executive Carrie Lam used colonial-era [emergency powers](#) to ban face-masks at assemblies (which was later [ruled unconstitutional](#)) and police have used live ammunition to shoot [three young protesters](#). The [death of 22-year-old student](#) Chow Tsz-lok (周子洛) on November 8 after being injured close to a police operation sparked the most recent outbreak of violence; the campuses of [Chinese University of Hong Kong \(CUHK\)](#) and [Polytechnic University](#) have been turned into battlefields. While certain protestors have used violence, including petrol bombs, bricks and arrows, the Hong Kong Police Force’s response has been severe and disproportionate. Hong Kong police must distinguish violent elements from peaceful protestors and restrict the use of force to the minimum extent necessary, in accordance with the [UN Basic Principles](#) on the Use of Force and Firearms by Law Enforcement Officials.

On June 28, four UN independent human rights experts appointed by the UN Human Rights Council sent a [communication](#) to the Chinese Government raising concern over allegations of excessive use of force by Hong Kong police on June 12 against “overwhelmingly peaceful” demonstrators. These same four experts then issued a [public statement on September 12](#) stating, “We are seriously concerned by credible reports of repeated instances where the authorities failed to ensure a safe environment for individuals to engage in public protest free from violence or interference.” We are disappointed that this language does not appear in the Office of the High Commissioner’s November 19 press statement.

On August 13, the High Commissioner’s spokesperson said [the Office has](#) “credible evidence” of law enforcement officials using some anti-riot measures which are “prohibited by international norms and standards” and urged the Hong Kong authorities to “act with restraint.” The failure of Hong Kong authorities to heed this call from the High Commissioner’s office should have been raised in the latest press statement.

Instead, the statement lacks a sense of proportion between the violent actions of small groups of protesters and the systematic use of unnecessary and disproportionate force by police against unarmed protesters.

The High Commissioner herself called on the Hong Kong Government to immediately carry out an “effective, prompt, independent and impartial investigation” into violence during a [press conference on October 5](#). Hong Kong has [no independent mechanism](#) to investigate excessive use of force by authorities, as the Independent Police Complaints Council (IPCC)’s expert advisers [themselves](#) re-confirmed recently. The IPCC does not have investigatory powers such as subpoenaing documents and summoning witnesses. The Human Rights Committee, which monitors the implementation of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, [raised](#) concern over the lack of independence of the IPCC to the Hong Kong Government in 2013.

The High Commissioner for Human Rights must call on Hong Kong authorities to take concrete steps to de-escalate tensions and reduce violence on both sides - police and protesters. As a minimum first step, Hong Kong authorities must establish an independent commission of inquiry into excessive use of police force, bringing to justice any law enforcement official responsible for unlawful use of force, as well as their superior officers. Any response to allegations of violent attacks on police must be handled through a fair judicial process. Those detained solely for exercising their rights to peaceful assembly and free expression should be unconditionally released and charges against them should be immediately dropped.

This statement is endorsed by:

Amnesty International

Article 19

Australia Tibet Council

Child Rights International Network (CRIN)

Chinese Human Rights Defenders (CHRD)

CIVICUS: World Alliance for Citizen Participation

Covenants Watch Taiwan

CSW (Christian Solidarity Worldwide)

Free Tibet

Geneva for Human Rights

International Campaign for Tibet

International Movement Against All Forms of Discrimination and Racism (IMADR)

International Service for Human Rights (ISHR)

International Tibet Network Secretariat

International Women's Rights Action Watch Asia Pacific

Safeguard Defenders

Students for a Free Tibet

Taiwan Association for Human Rights

Tibet Action Institute

Tibet Justice Center

World Organisation Against Torture (OMCT)

World Uyghur Congress

ISHHR